

Is The US Approaching the Goals of the ‘Gay Manifesto’?

The homosexual manifesto below IS for real. Published in *Gay Community News*, THE ultimate goal of the gay movement is a return to what they see as the Greek Paradise. While the identity of the author, Michael Swift, is uncertain, the place of publication – Boston, is significant since it is a key ‘center’ of homosexual leadership (New York, San Francisco and Washington, D.C. also make the claim). Homosexuals’ strides in Massachusetts were rapid, and the publication of this manifesto demonstrates just how far gays felt they had come and where they felt they could go. Its publication shows their confidence in eventually getting what they want -- A society like that which existed in pre-Christian Greece, where gays often got to use boys for sexual pleasure, converting them into homosexuals. Today, Afghanistan and Pakistan **are** gay paradises. In both countries, wealthy and/or powerful men generally have their pick of the boys of the poor, schoolboys, wards of the state, or homeless boys. Can it happen here?

This is stark evidence of the homosexual fixation -- *the 24/7 habit, all homosexuality all the time*. Are you aware of any similar publication from a significant ‘we want to rape your little girls’ group?

Ways gays ‘get to’ Gay Paradise

How could we ever ‘get there?’ Isn’t this all hyperbole and fantasy?

Not necessarily. Societies change. To date, just about all changes have been from pederastic to sharply-reduced pederastic societies. But reverse engineering is possible. To get there, society would have to be changed so: 1) ‘everyone’ realizes that gays need boys and boys (particularly those ‘born gay’) should cooperate; 2) any boy that has homosexual interests, or who might be induced to have homosexual interests, or make money ‘gets curious’ and ‘knows where to go’ ought to be able to; 3) the notion that children have the right to ‘explore their own

sexuality' is accepted; or, without changing society, 4) exploit the boys to which you have access, creating your own mini Gay Paradise. The latter has been practiced by teachers, coaches (think Penn State), Boy Scout leaders, Priests, etc. for millennia (e.g., McGagy [1971] "homosexual offenders probably constitute about half of molesters who work with children"). Indeed, teachers may be the greatest offenders: 43% of teachers caught molesting pupils in news reports across the world from 1980-2006 engaged in homosexuality (Cameron, 2007).

Numbers 2 & 3 are starting to rev up thanks to gay and liberal politicians and jurists who want every child to be aware of homosexual fun. As of now, #1 is out of the question (but that the *Wall Street Journal* could, without censure, include the fun of it on May 18, 2012, may indicate a crack even in this cultural wall [see below]), but successes in getting #s 2 & 3 put into place offers hope for #1.

Gay Community News, Feb. 15-21, 1987 (from the *Congressional Record*)

“We shall sodomize your sons, emblems of your feeble masculinity, of your shallow dreams and vulgar lies. We shall seduce them in your schools, in your dormitories, in your gymnasiums, in your locker rooms, in your sports arenas, in your seminaries, in your youth groups, in your movie theater bathrooms, in your army bunkhouses, in your truck stops, in your all male clubs, in your houses of Congress, wherever men are with men together. Your sons shall become our minions and do our bidding. They will be recast in our image. They will come to crave and adore us.

Comment: Notice the awareness of the power of seduction. Gay knowledge of 'how it works' is evident in the oft-employed slogan of the *Chicken Hawks* (men who major in boys) “today's trade is tomorrow's competition” (e.g., boys you pay for sex today, will become payers tomorrow). This power is exercised: in the Cameron & Cameron (1996) dataset, when asked how many virgins they had

introduced to homosexuality, the 128 gays reported a mean of 5. An average of 5 homosexual virgins/gay is, if at least a quarter 'adopt homosexuality,' sufficient to maintain or expand the gay subculture. Van Wyk and Geist (1984) noted that boys whose first sexual experience was homosexual were apt to adopt homosexual tastes. Cameron & Cameron (1996) dataset indicated ~60% of males whose first sexual experience was homosexual continued in homosexuality in adulthood whether the experience was consensual (with a peer) or rape (by a man).

Consider this from Pakistan:

“The first time Aziz, a lean, dark-haired 20-year-old in this bustling cultural capital, had sex with a man, he was a pretty, illiterate boy of 16. A family friend took him to his house, put on a Pakistani-made soft-porn video, and raped him. Now, says Aziz..., he is ‘addicted’ to sex with men, so he hangs around Lahore's red-light districts, getting paid a few rupees for sex. At night, he goes home to his parents and prays to Allah to forgive him. ... Among the Pashtun majority, having a young, attractive boyfriend is a symbol of prestige and wealth for affluent middle-aged men. Indeed, Pashtun men often keep a young boy in ... the male room of the house that the wife rarely enters. ... The boy is always the passive partner in sex and has often been coerced into the relationship; he is given food and clothes by his partner, and is in many cases forbidden to leave the relationship or marry. (In theory, the boys could marry when they're grown, but they are generally considered damaged, and end up wandering the streets as outcasts).

“Women, you cry for freedom. You say you are no longer satisfied with men; they make you unhappy. We, connoisseurs of the masculine face, the masculine physique, shall take your men from you then. We will amuse them; we will instruct them; we will embrace them when they weep. Women, you say you wish to live with each other instead of with men. Then go and be with

each other. We shall give your men pleasures they have never known because we are foremost men too, and only one man knows how to truly please another man; only one man can understand the depth and feeling, the mind and body of another man.

“All laws banning homosexual activity will be revoked. Instead, legislation shall be passed which engenders love between men.

Comment; As of Dec 6, 2011, the US government called for eliminating laws against gay sex, while legislation has been passed to make boys in classrooms aware of their ‘opportunities,’ and it is ‘politically correct’ in the media and on campus to smile at gay love (Penn State’s Sandusky was a professor). So while the media is trying hard to promote ‘gay love,’ the educational industry is doing what it can to lay the groundwork for such laws. In California, for instance, a new classroom celebration is in place: *Harvey Milk day*. Milk was a pederast (though he engaged in so much debauchery, it was probably not his ‘major’).

“All homosexuals must stand together as brothers; we must be united artistically, philosophically, socially, politically and financially. We will triumph only when we present a common face to the vicious heterosexual enemy.

If you dare to cry faggot, fairy, queer, at us, we will stab you in your cowardly hearts and defile your dead, puny bodies.

Comment: Hate speech laws are now sprinkled across the globe. They are especially fierce in Britain and Canada.

“We shall write poems of the love between men; we shall stage plays in which man openly caresses man; we shall make films about the love between heroic men which will replace the cheap, superficial, sentimental, insipid, juvenile, heterosexual infatuations presently dominating your cinema screens. We shall sculpt statues of beautiful young men, of bold athletes which will be placed in your parks, your squares, your plazas. The museums of the world will be filled only with paintings of graceful, naked lads.

Comment: Rock Hudson, who died of AIDS, had statues of naked boys surrounding his pool. Every TV season has a bit more of ‘gay love.’ Right now, the attempt is to make gay love = married love. Making gay love preeminent awaits.

“Our writers and artists will make love between men fashionable and de rigueur, and we will succeed because we are adept at setting styles. We will eliminate heterosexual liaisons through usage of the devices of wit and ridicule, devices which we are skilled in employing.

Comment: Perhaps overstated. Yet, you can sense the entertainment industry at least on the verge of making homosexual love = to heterosexual love. Men kissing men is part of the deal on TV ‘dancing’ shows, and gay comic book characters are advancing – even *J.C. Penny* is featuring gay couples in its ads. Given the success of so many gay designers, making man-man and man-boy love fashionable is not really out of the question.

“We will unmask the powerful homosexuals who masquerade as heterosexuals. You will be shocked and frightened when you find that your

presidents and their sons, your industrialists, your senators, your mayors, your generals, your athletes, your film stars, your television personalities, your civic leaders, your priests are not the safe, familiar, bourgeois, heterosexual figures you assumed them to be. We are everywhere; we have infiltrated your ranks. Be careful when you speak of homosexuals because we are always among you; we may be sitting across the desk from you; we may be sleeping in the same bed with you.

Comment: Many wives find out ‘too late.’ Congressman Bob Dornan said that he discovered that about 1% of military marriages were marriages of convenience between two homosexuals.

“There will be no compromises. We are not middle-class weaklings. Highly intelligent, we are the natural aristocrats of the human race, and steely-minded aristocrats never settle for less. Those who oppose us will be exiled.

We shall raise vast private armies, as Mishima did, to defeat you. We shall conquer the world because warriors inspired by and banded together by homosexual love and honor are invincible as were the ancient Greek soldiers.

Comment: So far, utterly removed from reality. Even the Nazi gays couldn’t pull this off. BUT, where gays have the upper hand – as in Canada and Britain – they show no legal mercy.

“The family unit-spawning ground of lies, betrayals, mediocrity, hypocrisy and violence--will be abolished. The family unit, which only dampens imagination and curbs free will, must be eliminated. Perfect boys will be conceived and grown in the genetic laboratory. They will be bonded together in communal setting, under the control and instruction of homosexual savants.

Comment: The family is now under withering attack and genetics has come a long way. Genetically manufactured boys-for-sex is no longer utterly impossible, but still highly unlikely. Notice that the welfare of the boys is irrelevant – just as at Penn State. Homosexual desire trumps all other values.

“All churches who condemn us will be closed. Our only gods are handsome young men. We adhere to a cult of beauty, moral and esthetic. All that is ugly and vulgar and banal will be annihilated. Since we are alienated from middle-class heterosexual conventions, we are free to live our lives according to the dictates of the pure imagination. For us too much is not enough.

Comment: Indeed, “for us too much is not enough!” Homosexuality 24/7 – All homosexuality, all of the time.

Closing churches was absurd on its face when written in 1987. 25 years later it appears on the verge of coming true in Britain, perhaps Canada. The IRS is not above enforcing this. And ‘for us too much is not enough’ is coming true.

“The exquisite society to emerge will be governed by an elite comprised of gay poets. One of the major requirements for a position of power in the new society of homoeroticism will be indulgence in the Greek passion. Any man contaminated with heterosexual lust will be automatically barred from a position of influence. All males who insist on remaining stupidly heterosexual will be tried in homosexual courts of justice and will become invisible men.

Comment: Hyperbole then, probably still over the top. Yet in the entertainment and education industries significant steps toward this very goal are evident. Many producers of conservative talk shows are gay, many editors of the largest

newspapers, many media personalities, perhaps most movie/TV stars – all of this cannot fail to make a difference in bringing about more of the same.

“We shall rewrite history, history filled and debased with your heterosexual lies and distortions. We shall portray the homosexuality of the great leaders and thinkers who have shaped the world. We will demonstrate that homosexuality and intelligence and imagination are inextricably linked, and that homosexuality is a requirement for true nobility, true beauty in a man.

Comment: Absurd when written, now coming true in scattered public schools in California, Massachusetts, and Europe.

“We shall be victorious because we are fueled with the ferocious bitterness of the oppressed who have been forced to play seemingly bit parts in your dumb, heterosexual shows throughout the ages. We too are capable of firing guns and manning the barricades of the ultimate revolution.

Tremble, hetero swine, when we appear before you without our masks.

Comment: Not yet -- But no longer impossible. The liberal mind is drawn to suicide and seems to support every cockamamie notion that could lead to social collapse. Joseph Schumpeter predicted capitalism would be destroyed by guilty intellectuals – and if these guys are ever given free reign....

Homosexual Facts: Because political correctness conceals the truth about gays, some facts are in order:

- 22%-23% of gays *admitted* to molesting boys in surveys conducted by gays (Jay & Young) or gay sympathetic investigators (Bell & Weinberg), and *none* of these gays claimed only boys as their sole sex partners ;
- if 22% of American Catholic Priests are homosexually inclined (possibly a low estimate), at least 14% have been *caught* molesting boys (Cameron & Proctor, 2012).

Bell & Weinberg (1978) asked 671 gays about the proportions of their partners who “were 16 or younger when you were 21 or older” (p. 311). 77% said “none,” 23% said “half or less,” and none “more than half.” While, 156 (23%) of the gays admitted to having had sex with boys, *none* was a “pedophile” in the sense of only having had sex with or could only have sex with children.

Jay and Young (1979) got 4,329 questionnaires from gays across the U.S. They asked: “How often do you have sex with men or boys of the following ages?” [always, very frequently, somewhat frequently, somewhat infrequently, very infrequently, once]. 4% of gays admitted sex with boys under the age of 9; 7% with boys aged 9-12 [the apparent age of most of Sandusky’s victims]; and 23% with boys aged 13-15. Thus, 23% of gays 18 years or older admitted sex with boys.

No gays reported having sex only with boys. 1% of gays admitted sex with boys aged 13-15 “very frequently,” but none said “always.” None said “always” to boys aged 9-12 or boys under the age of 9, whereas 2% said they “always” had sex with those 16-19, 5% said they “always” had sex with 20-24 year-olds, and 4% said that they “always” had sex with 25-29 year-olds. Given the above, Sandusky likely not only had sex with his wife, but with other members of Penn State’s gay nest.

Gays were asked “Whether or not you have sex with any of the following age groups, indicate how you feel about the idea of having sex with each of them” (p. 206) [very positive, somewhat positive, neutral, somewhat negative, very negative,

not sure]. 3% of gays said they felt “very positive,” 2% felt “somewhat positive,” 2% “neutral,” and 7% “not sure” – that’s 14% -- about having sex with boys under 9 yr! 4% said they felt “very positive, 1% “somewhat positive,” “3% “neutral,” and 7% “not sure” about having sex with boys 9-12 yr. And 11% were “very positive,” 8% “somewhat positive,” “8% “neutral,” and 5% “not sure” about having sex with boys aged 13 to 15 yr. Thus, about a third of US gays say they approve of sex with boys (‘neutral’ or ‘not sure’ softly express approval).

If we look where homosexuality is widely practiced, we find much the same opinion. Thus, in Pakistan, the National Coalition for Child Rights’ reported that **23% of Pakistani adults said they were “proud” of man-boy sex** and “11% did not consider it wrong” (Rajabali, Khan, Warraich, et al, 2008).

Poor Pakistani boys bear the burden of this opinion in much the same way disadvantaged boys did at Penn State. Thus, at November 2009’s child abuse conference in Lahore, Punjab Minister for Law and Parliamentary Affairs, Rana Sanaullah, said “people should be educated about the menace of child sexual abuse” which is “distorting the image of Pakistan” because the “majority of children admitted to Madrassas to get religious education were abused” (*International News* 11/20/09). All but 6% of the 4 million students in Pakistani Madrassas are boys.

So what do we know?

- Since fewer will admit to a heinous crime than actually do it and only a fraction of perpetrators are caught, it seems likely that at least a third of U.S. gays have had sex with boys.
- Likewise, since fewer will express agreement with a socially castigated opinion than hold it, it seems likely that half of U.S. gays approve of sex with boys.

As the 2011-12 Penn State scandal demonstrates, we have pederasty even though it is outlawed. The transformation from pre-Christian pederasty-infused Rome to Christian secret-pederasty Rome took at least 250 years. Getting ‘breeders’ to put up with a reverse transformation is going to be difficult and time consuming -- if possible. While Pakistan is filled with gays (perhaps half of men participate in homosexuality according to sources I consider reliable and reasonable, and the dancing boys are popular on even Christian boys’ cell phones according to missionaries I’ve spoken with), the majority of them also marry and have sex with women at least sufficiently to have children. Perhaps as much as 15% of men are ‘boy discards’ inhabiting a quasi-shadowy world of garish homosexuality, substance abuse, prostitution, and begging (one missionary informant noted that she had seen gays and the poor going from house to house or begging on the streets. Almost without exception, the gays raked in more! Further, no wedding celebration is complete without the dancing and blessing of these marginalized chaps. While the discards of pederasty get consigned to a much lower status, they still generally survive – though violence, rape, and general mischief are endemic in their ranks).

Can the West arrive at even an approximation of the Pakistani/Afghan paradise, much less the extreme paradise of the gay manifesto? It doesn’t seem possible from our vantage point. Yet with better communication, time is moving faster. It has only taken about 40 years for gays to establish themselves as deserving their greater rights and costs, for children’s ‘sexual rights’ to be taken seriously in law, and the Obama and David Cameron (UK) administrations to exert pressure on poorer countries to adopt the same customs. That at least some schools are ‘informing boys’ of their ‘opportunities’ for homosexual experience (or earnings)

is going to make a difference. But that difference is going to take a very long time to translate into Gay Paradise USA.

Will the poor (and it will almost certainly be the poor, not the middle class or rich) sacrifice their boys for gay pleasure? I don't think so. In Afghanistan it led to the Taliban. But who knew how far and fast gay rights would travel, or that the *Wall Street Journal* could get compromised so soon?

References: Bell AP, Weinberg MS. *Homosexualities: a study of diversity among men and women*. New York: Simon & Schuster, 1978. Jay K, Young A. *The Gay report*. N.Y.: Summit, 1979. Rajabali A, Khan S, Warraich HJ, Warrich MR, Khanani MR, Ali SH. (2008) HIV and homosexuality in Pakistan. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2008;8:511-515. Cameron P, Proctor K. Psychiatric Professions Falsely Claim Gays No More Apt to Molest. Aziz from Kennedy, M. Open secrets: In Pakistan, sex between men is strictly forbidden by law and religion. But even in the most conservative regions, it's also embedded in the society. *Boston Globe* 2004, 7 November:16. Faulkner, N Olympics, 388 B.C.: Mud, Sex, Hymns... Sports too. 5/18/12. Cameron, P & Cameron, K (1996) Do homosexual teachers pose a risk to pupils? *Journal of Psychology* 130, 603-613. Cameron P Teacher-Pupil Sex Across the World: How Much Is Homosexual? *J Same-Sex Sexual Behavior*, 1, 1-19. Van Wyk PH & Geist C.S. (1984). Psychosexual development of heterosexual, bisexual, and homosexual behavior. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 13, 505-544.

The letter I wrote to the Wall Street Journal and Faulkner's article are below:

WSJ 'Evolving' on Pederasty?

What if the Wall Street Journal featured an article on sexual tourism that matter-of-factly reported on its great fun -- including the joys of paying for sex with girls? And what if it ended by decrying sexual tourists didn't include "women, foreigners, or slaves?" Since the WSJ is so culturally important and carefully edited, such an article would suggest the elite are opening up to the possibility of having sex with girls. This is just what happened with Neil Faulkner's piece about the original Olympics -- except sex with boys was blessed: "the real added attraction of the games wasn't the cultural Olympiad but the sexual one. At the Olympics, parties went on through the wee hours, and hundreds of prostitutes, both women and boys, touted their services until dawn."

I suppose when homosexuals are accepted, an eventual green light to sex with boys is to be expected. But it is sad to think that we are going to need our own Mullah Omar to protect our sons.

What was it like going to the Olympics 2,400 years ago? Instead of London 2012, how about Olympia 388 B.C.?

If you came from abroad, you would have hired space on the deck of a cramped cargo vessel. Coming by land, you almost certainly would have walked, sleeping out in the warm August nights along the way. It was safe enough. The games were a religious festival, spectators traveling to and fro were pilgrims, and that meant protection from harm under the Panhellenic "sacred truce"—not a ban on warfare but usually an effective guarantee of safe passage through war zones for passing sports fans.

New arrivals at the remote, rural spot in the northwestern Peloponnese where the games were held every four years—always at the same place—would probably have been exhausted by the journey and keen to rest up. Fat chance! Directed to the "Olympic village," they would find a vast, partly tented, partly open-to-the-skies encampment, with inadequate water supplies, heaps of stinking refuse and huge improvised latrines. The air was alive with millions of flies, mosquitoes and wasps. The dumps were overrun with rats. By the end of the five-day festival, no one had washed properly for a week, and you could smell the games a mile away.

In the Olympic stadium, you sat on a grassy bank under the searing heat of the midsummer sun. It was the same in the nearby Hippodrome, where the equestrian events were held. Naked athletes participated in foot races, the pentathlon, horse and chariot races and in three combat

sports—wrestling, boxing and the almost-no-holds-barred *pankration*. That was the crowd's favorite, because there were virtually no rules and it was all blood and pain.

Half the Olympic program, on the other hand, was given over to religious ritual: processions, hymn-singing, incense-burning, gory animal sacrifice and strange incantations by exotically attired priests. The Olympic site wasn't just a sports stadium; it was part-sanctuary, part-art gallery, part-heritage trail. Behind a dazzling colonnaded facade sat a gold-and-ivory statue of Zeus, divine master of ceremonies—a stone god so huge that, had he stood up, his head would have gone through the temple roof. Outside was a mountainous heap of ash—an altar formed of a thousand sacrifices. All around stood more shrines, altars and statues.

The athletic events honored Zeus. The Greeks told stories about the mythic heroes Heracles and Pelops to explain why there was a great festival at the site—both heroes were claimed as founding fathers who gave thanks for divine assistance. But the sanctuary also honored an earth-mothers' union of fertility deities—Granny Gaia, Mommy Rhea and Daughters Hera and Demeter. The only woman allowed into the stadium to watch the contests was, in fact, the high priestess of Demeter of the Earth-bed—a relic of an age when the goddesses dominated Olympia.

Otherwise, the menfolk left their respectable women at home and headed off for the festival with fathers, brothers, sons, friends, neighbors and (male) lovers. Fringe events might include philosophy lectures, poetry readings and sundry charlatans and cranks offering to predict the future, but **the real added attraction of the games wasn't the cultural Olympiad but the sexual one. At the Olympics, parties went on through the wee hours, and hundreds of prostitutes, both women and boys, touted their services until dawn.**

A few things might seem familiar to us. Greek sport, for example, was as professionalized and politicized as our own. Dewy-eyed fables about backcountry ploughboys making it to Olympic stardom were exactly that. The truth was that most athletes were aristocrats who invested heavily in their training and expected to earn big prize money at the hundreds of local athletics festivals around Greece. As for politics, the Olympics was nothing if not a pageant of parochial hatreds and city-state rivalries, often laced with class antagonism, where oligarchic states like Sparta clashed on the running track with democracies like Athens.

Many things, though, would have seemed radically different. The classical Olympics were always run by a board of nine from the local town (Elis), so there were no international spats, no corruption scandals, no hijacking of the games to whitewash dictators.

There were no tickets, no VIP seats, no privileges for the great and the good. It was first-come, first-served, and if you got up late, bleary-eyed from the previous night's carousing, that was just tough.

In their heyday, the ancient Olympics—before Macedonian warlords and Roman politicians sullied them—had more in common with one of today's free open-air music festivals than with the corporate carnival planned for London this year. Besides the sport, sacrifice and sex, a **profound spirit of democracy and egalitarianism suffused the games. Provided, of course, you were a freeborn Greek man—not a woman, a foreigner or a slave.** —Dr. Faulkner is the author of "A Visitor's Guide to the Ancient Olympics," published by Yale U Press.